

1
2
3 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
4 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
5

6 RANDY FORTUNATO,

7 Plaintiff,

8 v.

9 BRACHFELD LAW GROUP, P.C., *et al.*,

10 Defendants.
11

Case No. 2:11-CV-01798-KJD-PAL

ORDER

12
13 Presently before the Court is Defendant Brachfeld Law Group, P.C.'s Motion to Dismiss
14 (#16). Though the time for doing so has passed, Plaintiff has failed to file a response in opposition.
15 On March 15, 2012, the Court warned (#18) Plaintiff that failure to file points and authorities in
16 opposition to the motion to dismiss would result in Plaintiff's complaint being dismissed. Therefore,
17 in accordance with Local Rule 7-2(d) and on the merits of Defendant's motion to dismiss, the Court
18 grants the motion to dismiss.

19 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant Brachfeld Law Group, P.C.'s
20 Motion to Dismiss (#16) is **GRANTED**;

21 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court enter **JUDGMENT** for Defendant
22 Brachfeld Law Group, P.C. and against Plaintiff.

23 DATED this 1st day of May 2012.

24 

25 Kent J. Dawson
26 United States District Judge